

18. SUBJECT INDEX

A

Acknowledgements, xiii
Altman, Doug, 217
Analysis
 in CMA software, 221
Appendix, 311

B

Becker, Betsy, 217
Begg and Mazumdar
 test for publication bias, 159
Berlin, Jesse, 217
Between-study variance. *See*
 Heterogeneity
Bias. *See* Risk of bias
Borenstein, Michael, 217
Brannick, Michael, 217

C

CMA software, **215**
 availability, 217
 basic analysis, 221
 data entry, 218
 disclosure, 215
 documentation, 217
 features, 215
 high-resolution plot, 224
 introduction, 215
 Knapp-Hartung adjustment,
 331–33
 meta-regression, 229
 publication bias, 232
 subgroups, 224

 teaching elements, 216
 website, **xvii**
Comprehensive Meta-Analysis.
 See CMA software
Confidence interval
 and statistical models, 8
 computing, 100
 definition, 307
 impact of additional studies on,
 100
 vs. prediction interval, **94–102**
Cooper, Harris, xiii, 217
Cumulative meta-analysis
 to assess publication bias, 182–
 84

D

d. *See* standardized mean
 difference
Data entry
 in CMA software, 218
DerSimonian and Laird
 random-effects model, 83
DerSimonian, Rebecca, 83
Dickersin, Kay, 217
Dispersion. *See* Heterogeneity
Duval, Sue, 217

E

Effect size
 and statistical models, 8–9
 definition, 307
 observed, 78, 308
 true, 78, 310

Effect-size estimation
definition, 308
vs. null hypothesis significance
testing, 139
where is the preferred
approach, 140

Egger
test for publication bias, 159
Error variance, 319

F

Fail-safe N , 180–81
Fixed-effect model, 3
and confidence-interval width,
8, **311–18**
and heterogeneity, 9–11
and mean effect size, 8–9
and the null hypothesis, 11–12
definition, 3
error variance, 319–20
for subgroups, 194–98
impact of small studies, 48–54
impact on study weights, 44–
47, 65
is not conservative, 59–63
issues and myths, **43–74**
putting it all together, **41–42**,
74
standard error, 319–20
statistical power, 64, 72–73
statistics for heterogeneity,
133–34
textbook case, 6
using test for heterogeneity to
choose, **13–22**
vs. random-effects model, **13–**
22
where it applies, 39–40
Fixed-effects model, 3

and confidence-interval width,
8, **311–18**
and heterogeneity, 9–11
and mean effect size, 8–9
and the null hypothesis, 11–12
definition, 3
error variance, 319–20
for subgroups, 194–98
impact of small studies, 48–54
impact on study weights, 44–
47
is not conservative, 59–63
issues and myths, **43–74**
putting it all together, **41–42**,
74
standard error, 319–20
statistical power, 64, 72–73
statistics for heterogeneity,
133–34
textbook case, 6
using test for heterogeneity to
choose, **13–22**
vs. random-effects model, **23–**
25, 33
where it applies, 39–40
Forest plot, xi
How to read, **xix**
in CMA software, 224
Forrest plot, xi
Forrest, Christine, xi

G

g. See Standardized mean
difference
Glossary, 307
Grey literature
and publication bias, 177

H

- Harbord, Roger, 217
 Hedges, Larry, xiii, 217
 Heterogeneity, **75–137**
 and statistical models, 9–11
 classified as low, moderate,
 high, 116–20
 computing, 334–36
 definition, **75**
 is bad, 81
 I-squared, 103–15
 overview, 75–80
 prediction interval, **85–93**
 putting it all together, **135**
 p-value for test of, 121–25
 Q-value, 126–30
 reliability of, 130–32
 statistics fixed-effect, 133–34
 statistics fixed-effects, 133–34
 statistics random-effects, 133–
 34
 true vs. observed effects, 78–79
 what we mean by, **75**
 Higgins, Julian, xiii, 217
 High-resolution plot
 in CMA software, 224

I

- I^2 . *See I*-squared
 Ioannidis, John, 217
I-squared, **103–15**
 classified as low, moderate,
 high, 116–20
 computing, 334–36, 346–49
 does not tell us how much
 effects vary, **104–14**
 further readings, 115
 mistakes in defining, 346–49

- using correctly, 114
 vs. prediction interval, **104**

K

- Knapp-Hartung adjustment, **36–
 38, 70, 328–33**
 in CMA software, 331–33
 Konstantopoulos, Spyros, 217

L

- Laird, Nan, 83
 Large studies
 impact depends on statistical
 model, 48–54
 Lipsey, Mark, 217

M

- McDaniel, Mike, 217
 Mean effect size
 and statistical models, 8–9
 Meta-regression, 229
 in CMA software, 229
 Mixed-effects model, **196**
 for subgroups, 194–98
 Model. *See* Statistical models
 Multiple tests, 358

N

- New Cuyama, 83
 NHST. *See* Null hypothesis
 significance testing
 Null hypothesis
 and statistical models, 11–12
 applies to a specific mix of
 populations, 146

- can reject null hypothesis even
 - if treatment is harmful in some populations, 152
 - in primary studies, 139
 - may not apply to any specific population, 145
 - overview, **139**
 - putting it all together, 154
 - when effect size is consistent, 142
 - when effect size varies, 145
- Null hypothesis significance testing
 - definition, 308
 - vs. effect-size estimation, 139
 - where NHST is preferred approach, 139
- O**
- Observed effect size
 - definition, 308
- Oswald, Fred, 217
- P**
- Pairwise comparisons
 - for subgroups, 349–59
- Peto, Richard, xi
- Pigott, Terry, 217
- Plot. *See* Forest plot
- Power. *See* Statistical power
- Prediction interval, **85–93**
 - assumes effects are normally distributed, 90
 - caveats, 90
 - computing, 90, 100, 334–36, **337–45**
 - context, **75–80, 135–37**
 - defined, 308–9
 - displayed as normal curve, 91
 - impact of additional studies on, 100
 - is only a first step, 91
 - is what we care about, 86–87
 - reliability of, 92
 - requires sufficient data, 90
 - spreadsheet to compute, 90, **337–45**
 - vs. confidence interval, **94–102**
 - vs. *I*-squared, 103–15
 - when T^2 is zero, 89
- Prevalence studies
 - and publication bias, 173–74
- Publication bias, **155–86**
 - and grey literature, 177
 - and risk of bias table, 185
 - and studies of prevalence, 173–74
 - defined, 309
 - does not invalidate analysis, 165
 - Egger regression test, 159
 - Fail-safe *N*, 180–81
 - ignores other types of bias, 185
 - in CMA software, 232
 - lines on funnel plot, 178–79
 - model is simplistic, 175
 - model only applies to some kinds of studies, 173–74
 - overview, **155–61**
 - putting it all together, **186**
 - tests may be over-interpreted, 166
 - tests only work under certain conditions, 171–72
 - trim and fill, 160, **167–70**
 - using cumulative analysis, 182–84
 - vs. small-study-effect, **162–64**

p-value
for test of heterogeneity, 121–25

Q

Q-value, **126–30**
and degrees of freedom, 130
computing, 334–36

R

Random-effects model, **3**
and confidence-interval width, **8, 311–18**
and heterogeneity, 9–11
and mean effect size, 8–9
and the null hypothesis, 11–12
assumptions, **26–27**
caveats, 34
definition, **3**
error variance, 319–20
for subgroups, 194–98
impact of small studies, 48–54
impact on study weights, 44–47, 65, 321–25
in practice, **28–30**
is based on a useful fiction, **30–31**
is conservative, 59–63
issues and myths, **43–74**
Knapp-Hartung adjustment, **36–38**
limitations, **26–35**
narrowly defined universe, 32–35
putting it all together, **41–42, 74**
standard error, 319–20
statistical power, 64, 72–73

statistics for heterogeneity, 133–34
textbook case, 6, 27–28
transparency, 31–32
using test for heterogeneity to choose, **13–22**
vs. fixed-effect model, **13–22**
vs. fixed-effects model, **23–25, 33**
when T^2 is estimated as zero, 65–69

Regression

in CMA software, 229

Reporting results of analysis, 237

heterogeneity, 238
mean effect size, 237
overview, 237
publication bias, 239
subgroups, 239

Rindskopf, David, 217

Risk of bias, **325–27**
vs. study quality, 52

Rosenthal, Bob, 180

Rothstein, Hannah, xiii, 217

S

Senn, Stephen, 217

Shadish, Will, 217

Sidik-Jonkman. *See* Knapp-Hartung adjustment

Small studies

impact depends on statistical model, 44–47, 48–54, 321–25

Small-study effect

vs. publication bias, **162–64**

Software. *See* CMA software

Standard error, **319**

Standardized mean difference

- defined, **309**
- Statistical models, **3–12, 13–42, 13–42**, *See also* Fixed-effect model, Fixed-effects model, Random-effects model, Mixed-effects model
 - and confidence-interval width, **8, 311–18**
 - and heterogeneity, 9–11, 9–11
 - and impact of small studies, 44–47, 48–54, 321–25
 - and mean effect size, 8–9
 - and statistical power, 64, 72–73
 - and statistics for heterogeneity, 133–34
 - and the null hypothesis, 11–12, 11–12
 - choosing, **13–42**
 - comparing results from
 - different, 55–58
 - fixed-effect model, **3**
 - fixed-effects model, **3**
 - for subgroups, 194–98
 - impact on mean effect, 321–25
 - impact on results, 70–71
 - impact on study weights, 8–12
 - issues and myths, **43–74**
 - overview, **3–7, 3–7**
 - putting it all together, **41–42, 74**
 - random-effects model, **3**
 - table comparing models, **4**
 - textbook cases, **4**
 - using test for heterogeneity to choose, **13–22**
 - when T^2 is estimated as zero, 65–69
- Statistical power, 64
 - depends on model, 72–73
- Sterne, Jonathan, 217
- Study quality
 - and study size, 48
 - vs. risk of bias, 52
- Study size
 - and study quality, 48
- Study weights
 - affected by statistical model, 8–12, 44–47, 321–25
- Subgroups, 187–212
 - causal vs. observational relationships, 190–93
 - choosing a statistical model, 194–98
 - comparing effect size for different, 203–7
 - estimating T^2 , 199–202, 359–61
 - estimating T^2 in CMA, 198, 201
 - in CMA software, 224
 - overall effect size in presence of, 208–10
 - overview, 187–89
 - pairwise comparisons, 349–59
 - problem of confounds, 190–93
 - putting it all together, **210–12**
 - testing difference in effect size, **203, 204**
- Sutton, Alex, xiii, 217
- T**
- Tanner-Smith, Emily, xiii
- Tarlow, Steven, xiii, 217
- Tau
 - computing, 334–36
 - defined, 309
- Tau-squared
 - computing, 334–36

computing for subgroups, 199–
202, 359–61
defined, 310
estimated as zero, 65–69
pooling estimates of, 359–61
Teaching meta-analysis
 CMA software, 216
Trikalinos, Thomas, 217
Trim and fill, **167–70**
 and small-study effect, 168
 how it works, 160, 167
 may be over-interpreted, 167–
 70
 problems using, 169–70
 reports adjusted effect size,
 160, 168
True effect size
 defined, 310

V

Valentine, Jeff, 217
Variance. *See* Heterogeneity
Vevea, Jack, 217
Viswesvaran, Vish, 217

W

Website
 for the software, **xvii**
 for the workshops, **xvii**
 for this book, **xvii**
Weights. *See* Study weights
Wilson, David, 217
Workshops
 Meta-analysis, **xv**